

NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume XVI, Issue No. 8

February 2011

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.

-- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

How Hollywood and fear of gun control made Glock "America's Gun"

Handgun maker Glock can thank gun control opponents and gun control laws for boosted sales. The more foes tried to ban or curb Glock's weapons because of their potency, the more the company turned those attacks to its advantage. Even the tragedy in Tucson has been a boon. Bloomberg News reported on Jan. 11 that \$499 Glocks were selling briskly in Arizona.

Gaston Glock ran a radiator plant in suburban Vienna, when in 1980 the Austrian army was looking for a replacement for the Walther P-38 sidearm. Glock, though he had no firearm expertise, studied the best pistols and consulted with leading European firearm experts.

The army wanted a pistol that was light, durable, and capable of holding more than the eight rounds the Walther accommodated. Glock solved the puzzle with plastic, fabricating a frame from a featherweight polymer that proved remarkably strong and corrosion-resistant. In 1981, he filed his 17th patent, so he called the gun the Glock 17, which could store 17 rounds in its clip, and one in the chamber. In trials for accuracy and durability in 1982, the Glock defeated models made by five well-known European arms manufacturers. The Austrian military ordered 20,000.

Karl Walter, a firearm salesman based in the U.S. had thought there was money to be made in converting U.S. police departments from revolvers to pistols. Ever since the 19th century, when the Colt Peacemaker became known as "the gun that won the West," Americans had preferred revolvers. "I was astonished,"

Walter says, "that this modern country still hung around with revolvers." In 1984 he teamed up with Glock at the perfect time. A year later, America's police collectively decided they needed a new handgun. In several notorious incidents, including a shoot-out in Miami in 1986 that left two FBI agents dead, the bad guys deployed more firepower than the law enforcers.

Walter began pitching the Glock to force after force. In late 1986 the Miami Police Dept. ordered 1,100 pistols, followed by Dallas, San Francisco, and others.

At that time, gun control advocates trying to thwart the Austrian invader made their first strategic misstep. They claimed that because it was mostly plastic, the pistol would be invisible to X-ray machines. "Only the barrel, slide, and one spring are metal," Jack Anderson wrote in his syndicated column. "Dismantled, it is frighteningly easy to smuggle past airport security."

As the 17-round pistol became an object of curiosity and admiration among Second Amendment enthusiasts, the anti-Glock campaign fizzled. The FAA concluded that if screening personnel paid attention, they would be able to detect the pistol. "That was a big 'oops' moment," says Richard M. Aborn, a former president of Handgun Control Inc., now known as the Brady Campaign to Prevent Gun Violence. "We made the classic mistake of failing to do our homework."

Hollywood gave Glock another boost. In 1990 Die Hard 2, portrayed mercenary terrorists armed with Austrian pistols. The hero, played by Bruce Willis (who carried a Beretta), at one point yelled at an airport police captain: "That punk pulled a Glock 7 on me! You know what that is? It's a porcelain gun made in Germany. It

doesn't show up on your airport X-ray machines." It didn't matter that everything Willis' character said was inaccurate, says Richard Feldman, an NRA lawyer at the time. "You had Jack Anderson, and Congress, and now Bruce Willis everyone's making things up about Glock. And gun owners, they want to defend the 'porcelain gun' or the 'plastic gun' or the 'hijacker special,' or whatever the media are calling it. What fabulous publicity."

The assault rifle ban signed by President Bill Clinton in 1994, which limited magazine capacity to 10 rounds, seemed likely to hurt Glock. It had the opposite effect. Seventeen-round Glock clips that had sold for less than \$20 quintupled in price over the next few years. Indeed, "the Clinton gun ban," as the NRA called the legislation, created a fascination with large clips that hadn't existed before in civilian gun circles.

-- From "Glock: America's Gun," Bloomberg Businessweek Jan. 13, 2011

VA to Feds: Hands off INTRASTATE commerce

The Virginia House of Delegates has passed House Bill 1438, the Intrastate Commerce Act, which "Provides that all goods produced or manufactured within the Commonwealth, when such goods are held, retained, or maintained in the Commonwealth, shall not be subject to federal law, federal regulation, or the constitutional power of the United States Congress to regulate interstate commerce."

For decades, using a tortured definition of "interstate commerce," Congress has regulated, controlled, banned, or mandated virtually everything -- from wheat grown

on one's own land for personal consumption, to weed grown in an individual's own home for the same purpose, to guns manufactured, sold and kept in state boundaries, and everything in between. Unfortunately, the Supreme Court has largely condoned such reprehensible legislative behavior.

Virginia is saying "Back Off" to the feds — by standing up for the Constitution as the founders gave it to us.

If the Constitution means today just what it meant when it was ratified, then Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution — the "Interstate Commerce Clause"— delegates to Congress only the power to "regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes."

According to Constitutional scholar Randy Barnett, the original meaning of "commerce" was limited to the "trade and exchange" of goods and transportation for this purpose. The original meaning of "to regulate" generally meant "to make regular" —that is, to specify how an activity may be transacted when applied to domestic commerce. When applied to foreign trade it also included the power to make "prohibitory regulations." "Among the several States" meant between persons of one state and another.

According to Constitutional scholar Rob Natelson, the commerce clause gave Congress power to regulate interstate commerce — not "matters that have significant spillover effects across state lines." The Constitutional Convention rejected the wording of the Virginia Plan, which arguably would have let the Federal government regulate any activity with interstate spillover. In other words, the Founders decided to leave many activities with spillover effects to the states.

Not included in the power to regulate commerce "across state lines" is the authority to regulate activities that are non-economic or solely INTRASTATE, which the language of Virginia's Intrastate Commerce Act addresses. --
blog.tenthamentendmentcenter.com
January 26, 2011

Mock City, USA

SAN DIEGO — A mock city roughly the size of downtown San Diego has risen in the California desert to train military forces to fight in urban environments.

The \$170 million urban training center was unveiled Tuesday at the Twentynine Palms military base, 170 miles northeast of San Diego.

The 1,560-building facility will allow troops to practice skills that can be used around the world, the Marine Corps said.

The new center includes seven separate mock city districts spread across 274 acres.

The fake markets, hotels and other businesses are complete with actors who create scenarios that pose a full range of challenges from humanitarian relief efforts to peacekeeping, police work and direct combat.

More than 15,000 Marines and sailors can train simultaneously in the massive simulator.

Marines will search for escape tunnels, hiding places, weapons caches and other dangerous spaces. The facility has almost 1,900 feet of underground tunnels, a manmade riverbed and dozens of courtyards and compounds.

The Marine Corps says the simulators help expose troops to the chaos and stress of close combat, so they can be psychologically prepared for traumatic events.

In November, the Marine Corps unveiled a \$30 million expansion of its mock Afghan village at Camp Pendleton that nearly quadrupled its size. In that facility, Marines scramble through a maze of mud walls leading to mosques, schools and carpet sellers, as Hollywood-style explosions go off.

Similar immersion training facilities are slated to open this year at Marine Corps bases in North Carolina, Hawaii and Okinawa.

-- www.msnbc.msn.com Jan. 26, 2011

Ed.: Hmm, any chance these guys will become cops?

Genghis Khan, the greatest "green"

Genghis Khan's Mongol invasion in the 13th and 14th centuries was so vast that it may have been the first instance in history of a single culture causing man-made climate change, according to the Carnegie Institution.

Unlike modern day climate change, however, the Mongol invasion cooled the planet, effectively scrubbing around 700 million tons of carbon from the atmosphere.

So how did Genghis Khan, one of history's cruelest conquerors, earn such a glowing environmental report card? Khan did it the same way

he built his empire — with a high body count.

Over the course of the century and a half run of the Mongol Empire, about 22 percent of the world's total land area had been conquered and an estimated 40 million people were slaughtered. Depopulation over such a large swathe of land meant that countless numbers of cultivated fields eventually returned to forests.

Reforestation meant that more carbon could be absorbed from the atmosphere.

Julia Pongratz, who headed the Carnegie research project, along with German colleagues at the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, measured the carbon impact of a number of historical events besides just the Mongol invasion, including the Black Death in Europe, the fall of China's Ming Dynasty and the conquest of the Americas.

What all of these events share in common is the widespread return of forests after a period of massive depopulation, but the longevity of the Mongol invasion made it stand out as having the biggest impact on the world's climate.

The 700 million tons of carbon absorbed as a result of the Mongol invasions roughly equals the amount of carbon global society now produces annually from gasoline.

-- www.mnn.com Jan. 24, 2011

Ed.: hope the population controllers in the U.N. don't hear about this.

Supremes to rule on total immunity for prosecutorial misconduct

After 14 years on death row, John Thompson had one month to live when DNA test results concealed by prosecutors exonerated him. Prosecutors in the New Orleans District Attorney's office had intentionally hidden a blood test that would have unraveled the case against him. By a stroke of luck, an investigator found a microfiche copy of it and Johnson was freed..

Thompson sued the office of now-retired Dist. Atty. Harry Connick, and a jury awarded him \$14 million. But oral arguments at the Supreme Court in the fall suggest he may not see a nickel of it.

Defense lawyers say a series of high court rulings shielding prosecutors from damages have created a situation in which abuse is tolerated. The justices have barred suits against individual prosecutors

even when they lie about evidence in court. And increasingly, the high court has been shielding DA's offices from being sued for a pattern of wrongdoing by their prosecutors.

Researchers who have examined scores of cases of those who were freed by DNA evidence say misconduct by prosecutors is one of the leading causes of wrongful convictions.

Defendants are supposedly protected by the 1963 ruling in *Brady vs. Maryland*, which told prosecutors they must reveal evidence that could free a criminal defendant.

"The problem is that Brady violations are hidden and can forever remain hidden," said University of Virginia law professor Brandon Garrett.

Though experts point to the need for greater scrutiny of prosecutors, the high court has ruled that prosecutors, like judges and grand jurors, are part of the "judicial process" and must be protected from harassment that could deflect them from doing their duty.

Pace Law School professor Bennett Gershman, who has written widely on misconduct by prosecutors said, "The Thompson case is a dramatic illustration of how an innocent person was nearly executed. If the court is insensitive to that, it tells you where we are with the criminal justice system."

The New Orleans case, *Connick vs. Thompson*, is the third in three years in which the justices have taken up appeals from prosecutors who were successfully sued for sending innocent people to prison.

Two years ago, the justices shielded the Los Angeles County DA's office from being sued for using jailhouse informers who repeatedly lied to juries. The ruling threw out a suit by Thomas Goldstein, who spent 24 years in prison for a murder he did not commit.

Last year, the court heard the case of two Iowa prosecutors who were sued for framing two black teenagers for murder even though witnesses had pointed to a suspect who was white. In asking for the claim to be tossed out, the Iowa prosecutors asserted "there is no freestanding constitutional right not to be framed." A settlement was reached before the justices could issue a ruling.

The police, like other public officials, can be sued if they knowingly violate a person's constitutional rights,

the court has said. By contrast, prosecutors, like judges, were given a total immunity from suits even if they deliberately violated the law. Otherwise, "harassment from unfounded litigation" could deflect from doing their duty, the court said.

Paul D. Clement a former U.S. solicitor general who filed a friend-of-the-court brief said, "Prosecutorial immunity is the 600-pound gorilla in all these cases. Step back and ask yourself the question: Which of these people should know better? The prosecutor or the police officer?"

Yet under the high court's rules, the prosecutor who commits "intentional wrongdoing" is shielded, but not the police officer, he said.

-- *Los Angeles Times* January 9, 2011

The Cloward-Piven Strategy Undermining the system

Op-Ed by Robert Chandler

There is a distinct odor of the shadowy Cloward-Piven strategy as the taproot that triggered the 2008 financial crisis. The strategy's goal is to bring about the fall of capitalism by overloading and undermining government bureaucracy.

Its tactics include flooding government with impossible demands until it slowly cranks to a stop; overloading electoral systems with tidal waves of new voters, many of them bogus; shaking down banks, Congress, and the Department of Housing and Urban Development for affirmative-action; and demanding subprime mortgages for low-income Americans with little hope of repaying their loans. These toxic mortgages are an important source of the foul smell engulfing the entire financial bailout.

Developed in the mid-1960s by two Columbia University sociologists, Andrew Cloward and Frances Fox Piven, much of their strategy was drawn from Saul Alinsky, Chicago's notorious revolutionary Marxist community organizer. The Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN) succeeded the National Welfare Rights Organization in the execution of the Cloward-Piven tactic to use the poor as cannon fodder to tear down the capitalist system. It was low-income, mostly black and Hispanic people, who were used by ACORN guerrillas to take subprime toxic mortgages.

The root causes for the financial panic were sown years ago

when the Institute for Policy Studies, the notorious "Think Tank of the Left," held socialist seminars geared toward undermining the American capitalist system. From 1964 to the present day, the IPS has used seminars especially scoped to influence congressmen and their assistants to support the "progressive," that is to say "socialist," viewpoint. A 1969 IPS "Housing and Property" seminar, for example, brought together speakers from big-city tenants councils, neighborhood legal services, FHA insurance, savings-and-loans entities, and the Shannon and Luchs Realty Company that "plinked" the first domino that led to the current crisis.

The IPS also conducted "Experimental Education" seminars in January-April 1969, for federal legislators and their aides that included Bill Ayers, an Obama confidant and Weatherman terrorist, as a guest speaker. According to the Senate Subcommittee on Investigation, 4,330 bombings occurred in the United States, about nine a day, from January 1969 to April 1970.

The socialist test case for using society's poor and disadvantaged people as sacrificial "shock troops," in accordance with the Cloward-Piven strategy, was demonstrated in 1975, when new welfare recipients flooded New York City, bankrupting the government. As a consequence, New York state also teetered on the edge of financial collapse.

The 2008 financial crisis has all of the earmarks of a Cloward-Piven assault. Stanley Kurtz of the Ethics and Public Policy Center recently explained that "community organizers" (1) "intimidate banks into making high risk loans to customers with poor credit," (2) "occupy private offices, chant inside bank lobbies, and confront executives at their homes," and, through these thuggish tactics, (3) compel "financial institutions to direct hundreds of millions dollars in mortgages to low-credit customers." "In other words," Mr. Kurtz explained at the Hudson Institute, community organizers help to undermine America's economy by pushing the banking system into a sink-hole of bad loans."

Chandler is a retired Air Force colonel.

-- *Washington Times*, Oct. 15, 2008

In the beginning of change the patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, however, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot. – Mark Twain

Glenn Beck and Faux News Using "Big Lie" against Left Professor

In recent months, Fox News' Glenn Beck has repeatedly described Professor Frances Fox Piven as an advocate of violence and "enemy of the Constitution." As a consequence, a torrent of death threats against her has appeared on Beck's Web site The Blaze.

In response, the Center for Constitutional Rights sent a letter to Fox News President Roger Ailes calling on him to rein in Beck and stop the campaign of misinformation against Piven. Fox News responded, but claimed that the CCR didn't make "a sincere effort...to stop hostile public speech, but rather an attempt to create ill will for our company."

Here, we reprint [some of] the Center's letter to Ailes.

Dear Mr. Ailes:

We are supporters of Prof. Frances Fox Piven. We request your immediate help in stopping false accusations by Glenn Beck that are putting Prof. Piven in danger.

Prof. Piven is a distinguished professor of political science and sociology at the Graduate Center of City University of New York. She is an acclaimed scholar and former president of the American Sociological Association. She is best known for her work in advocating for the organizational rights of poor persons and for encouraging voter registration.

We are vigorous defenders of the First Amendment. We support full freedom of speech and full debate on all issues. However, there comes a point when constant intentional repetition of provocative, incendiary, emotional misinformation and falsehoods about a person can put that person in actual physical danger of a violent response.

On September 13, 2010, Mr. Beck warned listeners that "if you don't think violence is coming, I'm going to share some audio of Frances Fox Piven that will boggle your mind." Beck said that "they don't mind violence. Violence will come. And violence will come from the left. Violence is part of the plan. Not mine, not yours."

On January 12, 2011, Mr. Beck accused Prof. Piven of being in the same league as a group which the government said planned to murder law enforcement officers and to engage in armed war on the United States. He said, "I denounce violent threats and calls for the destruction of our system--regardless of their underlying ideology--

whether they come from the Hutaree Militia or Frances Fox Piven."

Below are some samples of the threatening e-mails posted about Prof. Piven on the Beck site. These illustrate the violent and threatening responses against Prof. Piven provoked by Mr. Beck's repeated falsehoods and misinformation.

* "Be very careful what you ask for, honey. As I mentioned in previous posts--one shot, one kill. The people have to stand up for what's right. A few well-placed marksmen with high powered rifles...then there would not be any violence." --JFT 1425

* "Maybe they should burst through the front door of this arrogant elitist and slit the hateful cow's throat." --Reckless

* "Big Lots is having a rope sale, I hear, you buy the rope and I will hang the wench. I will spin her as she hangs. Here is your revolution, Piven wench, Allah Akbar!" --ham4mohammed

These threats must be taken seriously by Fox News. Prof. Piven's life could well be at stake.

We are requesting that you order Mr. Beck to cease and desist. We ask you to stop Mr. Beck from continuing the constant intentional repetition of provocative, incendiary, emotional misinformation and falsehoods about Prof. Piven. Mr. Beck is putting Prof. Piven in actual physical danger of a violent response. Sincerely,
Vincent Warren, Executive Director
William Quigley, Legal Director
Center for Constitutional Rights
-- Jan. 30, 2011,
<http://progressivesforobama.blogspot.com/2011/01>

Two states, two approaches to guns

By James Morris

William Tunney's Jan. 24 letter regarding the open carry of firearms prompted me to do a little research. According to the FBI Uniform Crime Report for 2009, the latest year for which firm data are available, the commonwealth of Virginia, which permits law-abiding adults to openly carry firearms and has a shall-issue system for concealed-handgun permits, had a rate of 226.8 violent crimes per 100,000 residents. The state of Maryland, on the other hand, with its near-total ban on carrying firearms, had a rate of 589.9 violent crimes per 100,000 residents.

Additionally, Maryland, with nearly 2 million fewer residents than Virginia, had 438 homicides, compared with Virginia's 347.

So it would appear to me that Mr. Tunney has a lot less to fear on the south side of the Potomac than on the north. -- Washington Post, Letters Jan. 25, 2011

MD State Police: 2d Amendment not good enough

Delegate Michael Smigiel wants people who have been issued a permit to carry a firearm in Maryland's border states (Delaware, Pennsylvania and Virginia) to have the Second Amendment right to carry them here.

But the Maryland State Police, which handles gun permit requests, vehemently opposes Smigiel's proposed legislation because of the varying standards for permit approval between the states, Maryland State Police Lt. Jerry Beason said.

Maryland also requires that every applicant demonstrates a "good and substantial reason" for his or her request.

Smigiel said he has persistently asked the police for a definition for good and substantial reason, but they won't define it.

"Do the Maryland State Police accept the Constitution and the Bill of Rights as a good and substantial reason?" Smigiel asked. He said he listed the Second Amendment as his reason for wanting a permit, and was told it wasn't a good and substantial reason.

"I would just like everybody here and everybody viewing to know that in fact the Maryland State Police do not accept the Constitution or the Bill of Rights Second Amendment as a good and substantial reason," Smigiel said.

The police have asked for guidance in defining the term, Beason said. -- baltimore.citybizlist.com, Jan. 26, 2011

British Prime Minister attacks multiculturalism

David Cameron launched a devastating attack today on 30 years of multiculturalism in Britain, warning it is fostering extremist ideology and directly contributing to home-grown Islamic terrorism.

He warned Muslim groups that if they fail to endorse women's rights or promote integration, they will lose all government funding. All immigrants to Britain must speak English and schools will be expected to teach the country's common culture.

The new policy will form the basis of the Government's new anti-

terrorism strategy to be published later this year.

But his remarks have already infuriated Muslim groups. They accused Mr Cameron of placing an unfair onus on minority communities to integrate, while failing to emphasise how the wider community can help immigrants feel more welcome in Britain. They suggested his speech was part of a concerted attack on multiculturalism and pointed out that German Chancellor Angela Merkel recently made a similar attack.

Mr Cameron rejected suggestions that a change in Western foreign policy could stop the Islamic terrorist threat and says Britain needs to tackle the home-grown causes of extremist ideology. "We have even tolerated segregated communities behaving in ways that run counter to our values. All this leaves some young Muslims feeling rootless. And the search for something to belong to can lead them to extremist ideology."

Mr Cameron blamed a doctrine of "state multiculturalism" which encourages different cultures to live separate lives. This, he says, has led to the "failure of some to confront the horrors of forced marriage". But he added it is also the root cause of radicalisation which can lead to terrorism.

"We need to think much harder about who it's in the public interest to work with," he said. "Some organisations that seek to present themselves as a gateway to the Muslim community are showered with public money despite doing little to combat extremism. This is like turning to a right-wing fascist party to fight a violent white supremacist movement."

He adds, that in future, only organisations which believe in universal human rights – particularly for women – and promote integration will be supported with public money.

But Muslim groups said Mr Cameron's approach was simplistic and would not succeed in tackling extremism. Fiyaz Mughal, founder of interfaith group Faith Matters said, "Finger-pointing at communities and then cutting social investment into projects is a sure-fire way of causing greater resentment."

In its latest annual survey of immigration attitudes, the German Marshall Fund found that 23 per cent of Britons believed immigration was the country's largest problem. In Canada and the US, the figure is closer to 10 per cent. -- www.independent.co.uk, Feb. 5, 2011

Enemy belligerents and S.3081

According to our information, Senators McCain and Leiberman's "Enemy Belligerent, Detention and Prosecution Act" (S.3081) was introduced March 4, 2010 and referred to the Justice Committee; the bill was cleared from the calendar of the last Congress and will not be considered again unless reintroduced in the current Congress.

It is feared that such a law as S.3081 could target the militia as an "enemy belligerent" to be detained and prosecuted.

Ed.: thanks to the patriot who asked us to look into this.

Good Cop...Bad Cop

By Kirby Ferris

The group known as "Oath Keepers" represent the very best in American law enforcement (as well as the U.S. military ... but that's another story). The police of Oath Keepers are the "Good Cops", the truly Good Cops.

These loyal men and women have publicly re-declared that they will keep their oath to support and defend the Constitution from all enemies "foreign and domestic". To them, this solemn promise is a sacred honor, not just some patriotic homily. This means they have sworn to obey the Second Amendment.

Sadly, if there are "Good Cops" there are "Bad Cops". Candid (and very private) discussions with police officers seem to support the reality that, at the most, five percent of cops nationwide are "dirty".

What makes a Bad Cop? Two things leap right out front in their personality profile: Arrogance and Authoritarianism.

The Bad Cop feeds his or her ego upon the glances of fear, or the glances of respect, from an unarmed citizenry.

Bad Cops secretly lust to openly lord over the rest of us. They are Storm Troopers in waiting. Bottom line: They want all the rest of us disarmed.

You can tell a Bad Cop by asking them one simple question: "Are you for 'gun control'?" Listen to their answer carefully. Be very aware of the words "reasonable" or "sensible" in reference to citizen disarmament. Back in Nazi Germany or Soviet Russia, they took quite well to the trench coats, pompous caps, and knee high jack boots ... and ended up "just following orders".

Some Bad Cops have always been arrogant elitists. These power addicts are often the "top cops" that you find parading up on the stage to call for more "gun control" whenever there is a high profile shooting.

I'm not going to mince words: These men and women are dangerous, treasonous vermin. They took an oath to defend the Constitution, and they now desecrate that oath with every breath they take. Sheriff Dupnik of Arizona is a blatant, slithering example. When asked his opinion of laws that allow some individuals to carry weapons, concealed and otherwise Dupnik replied: "That's the height of insanity." Then he added, presumably sarcastically, "Maybe they could pass a law that would require that every child have an Uzi in their crib." (LA Times, Jan. 10, 2011)

If you have a cop living on your street, you must know upon which side of the fence he or she sits. It's time to point blank (can I say that, Sheriff Dupnik?) ask him or her what his or her views on "gun control" actually are.

Find out the same thing about retired cops. A very small percentage harbor a deep anger, a passive aggressive, manipulative side. They are now disarmed. They are now just like the rest of us "commoners." This truly irks the authoritarian passive aggressive mentality.

Litmus test for retired cops: The closet authoritarian type will also mention "reasonable gun control" and "sensible gun control". They will never acknowledge "shall not be infringed" (which was a key part of their oath). They'll always have a "yes... but" right at hand.

Beware of this kind. At their core they are smiling back stabbers. They'll say "times have changed" or "it's a new world" or they'll preface things with "realistically speaking".

Neighborhood snitches and "knock on the door in the middle of the night" goon squads are often recruited from this disenchanted population pool.

As for the Good Cops, the ninety five percent of law enforcement? Embrace them emphatically. Thank them for their service. Let them know that you have their backs. But again, that five percent of Bad Cops could become very dangerous to our freedom someday. Find out who they are. Know who they are. Let your friends and neighbors know who they are.

Kirby Ferris is the Public Affairs Director of Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership.

-- <http://jpfo.org/>

Survival advice from Clint Smith

"The response time of a 911 call is measured in minutes... a .44 magnum in seconds."

"The most important rule in a gunfight: always win and cheat if necessary."

"Make your attacker advance through a wall of bullets. You may get killed with your own gun, but he'll have to beat you to death with it, cause it's going to be empty."

"Never let someone or thing that threatens you get inside arm's length, and never say 'I got a gun.'"

"If you're not shootin', you should be loadin'. If you're not loadin', you should be movin', if you're not movin', someone's gonna cut your head off and put it on a stick."

"Do something. It may be wrong, but do something."

"Don't shoot fast, unless you also shoot good."

"You cannot save the planet but you may be able to save yourself and your family."

More Excellent Wisdom.....

"The true soldier fights not because he hates what is in front of him, but because he loves what is behind him. - G. K. Chesterton

The Wise Commander does not depend on his subordinates for victory, but creates a situation in which victory is inevitable - Sun Tzu, "The Art of War"

-- Clint Smith is Director of Thunder Ranch, a firearms training facility in Oregon

.45-cal ammo recalled

FEDERAL and AMERICAN EAGLE 45 AUTO PRODUCT SAFETY WARNING

Immediate Action Required

Certain lots of recently manufactured 45 Auto ammunition may contain an incorrect propellant charge. Use of product from these lots may result in firearm damage and possible serious injury.

DO NOT USE PRODUCT FROM THE FOLLOWING LOTS: 38X628 through 38X765 38T401 through 38T414

If you have in your possession any 45 Auto with the following brand names and part

numbers, check to see if your ammunition package contains the above lots: American Eagle® (AE45A, AE45N1, or AE45A250), Champion™ (WM5233), GoldMedal® (GM45B), Hi-Shok® (45C, 45D) and Federal® Personal Defense® (C45C, C45D).

THIS WARNING APPLIES ONLY TO THE LOTS LISTED ABOVE.

If you possess ammunition from any of these lots, or have questions concerning this warning, please contact us at 1-800-831-0850 or 1-800-322-2342 and ask for Product Service.

Federal will provide replacement product and will cover the cost of returning the affected product. We apologize for any inconvenience this may cause.

-- FEDERAL CARTRIDGE COMPANY • ANOKA, MN 55303 • Toll Free: 1-800-322-2342.

The Facts vs. the New York Times

By John Lott

You know you're doing something right when two New York Times columnists attack your research on the same day. Gail Collins worries that law-abiding citizens carrying concealed handguns can't be trusted:

"One can only hope that Saturday's horrible attack in Tucson encourages more citizens to carry concealed handguns," wrote John Lott, Jr. . . . As a model, he pointed to Joseph Zamudio. Lott's theory was that Zamudio was able to lend a hand "because his legally carried 9 mm semiautomatic offered him protection." He neglected to mention that while Zamudio never fired at the gunman, he almost drew on an innocent man by mistake.

No, Zamudio himself told Fox News that carrying a gun made him willing to run towards the shots while almost everyone else was running for cover. The possibility of shooting an innocent man didn't occur because Zamudio used caution and observed from the gun's slide that it was out of bullets.

Of all the multiple victim shootings around the country that have been stopped by law-abiding citizens with concealed handguns, none, not a single one has resulted in innocent

bystanders being shot. Permit holders do not endanger others.

In Arizona as of December 1, 2007, there were 99,370 active permits. During 2007, 33 permits were revoked for any reason. Between October 1, 1987 and December 31, 2010, Florida issued permits to 1.9 million people. 168 permit holders had their permits revoked for any firearms related violation, a rate of 0.009%.

Nicholas Kristof argues that the academic literature disagrees with my claim that concealed handguns deter crime.

Kristof wrote: "One scholar, John Lott Jr., published a book suggesting that more guns lead to less crime, but many studies have now debunked that finding (although it's also true that a boom in concealed weapons didn't lead to the bloodbath that liberals had forecast)."

When my original findings were published in the mid-nineties, there was a widely held belief that right-to-carry laws could result in blood baths. Ample empirical evidence has shown this not to be the case. The debate is rather over whether there is no effect, a small benefit, or a large benefit.

Most of the academic research, including recent research on right-to-carry research by economists and criminologists, do indeed show that the laws reduce violent crime (see the third edition of "More Guns, Less Crime").

Among peer reviewed studies in academic journals, 16 studies examining national data find that right-to-carry laws reduced violent crime, 10 claimed that they found no discernible effect, and zero studies found a bad effect from the law. Five other non-refereed studies were more divided, with three finding drops in crime, one claiming to find no effect, and two saying that there were either no effect or possibly small increases in crime. But even "no discernible effect" is usually not the same as "debunking" or "refuting" a hypothesis. Rather it often means that the evidence is not sufficient to draw a conclusion.

-- FoxNews.com, Jan. 14, 2011

NJM, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton New Jersey 08650

ISSN 1523-4657

www.njmilitia.org

info@njmilitia.org

walnor@keepandbeararms.com

Middlesex County, Art (732) 607-0833

Morris County, Bill (973) 361-3241

Johnson County, TX, Earl (817) 783-2375

Wake County, NC, Dave (919) 521-4147

Newsletter Subscription - Donation \$10.00

Cash or Blank Money Order Only

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____